PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION



IM

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5:

A61K 9/16, 9/52, B01J 2/10

(11) International Publication Number: WO 92/06679

A1

(12) International Publication Date: 30 April 1992 (30.04.92)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE91/00690
(22) International Filing Date: 15 October 1991 (15.10.91)

(23) International Publication Number: WO 92/06679

(24) International Publication Date: 30 April 1992 (30.04.92)

(25) International Application Number: PCT/SE91/00690
(26) International Publication Date: 30 April 1992 (30.04.92)

(27) International Publication Date: 30 April 1992 (30.04.92)

(28) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), BR, CA, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAP

(30) Priority data: 9003296-2

16 October 1990 (16.10.90) SE

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): KABI PHARMACIA AB [SE/SE]; S-751 82 Uppsala (SE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): KRISTENSEN, Arne [DK/SE]; Askvägen 22 A, S-756 53 Uppsala (SE). HOLM, Per [DK/DK]; Grøndalsparkveij 54:1, DK-2720 Vanløse (DK).

(74) Agents: BERGANDER, Håkan et al.; Kabi Pharmacia AB, S-751 82 Uppsala (SE). B1) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OAPI patent), BR, CA, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH (European patent), CI (OAPI patent), CM (OAPI patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FI, FR (European patent), GA (OAPI patent), GB (European patent), GN (OAPI patent), GR (European patent), HU, IT (European patent), JP, KP, KR, LK, LU (European patent), MC, MG, ML (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI patent), MW, NL (European patent), NO, PL, RO, SD, SE (European patent), SN (OAPI patent), SU+,TD (OAPI patent), TG (OAPI patent), US.

Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: A METHOD FOR DRUG FORMULATION AND A PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITION

(57) Abstract

A melt granulating method for the production of pellets (spheres) which contain a therapeutically active substance of high-dosage type. The method is characterized by: (i) mechanically working a mixture which contains (a) the active substance in cohesive form and (b) a binder (melting point 40 °C · 100 °C) while supplying sufficient energy for the binder to m : and to form spherical overwetted pellets; (ii) adding further cohesive substance, while maintaining the mechanical working; (interrupting the mechanical working and supply of energy and cohesive substance when the desired mean particle size of dry penets (spheres) has been achieved; and (iv) removing the smallest and largest particles and dividing the remaining pellets into dosage batches. A novel pharmaceutical composition containing a dosage of 300 - 500 mg of pellets obtainable from the process.

+ DESIGNATIONS OF "SU"

Any designation of "SU" has effect in the Russian Federation. It is not yet known whether any such designation has effect in other States of the former Soviet Union.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
ΑU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BP.	-Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	MW_	Malawi
BG	Bulgaria	GN.	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BJ	Benin	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BR	Brazil	AU	Hungary	PL.	Poland
CA	Canada	ìТ	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland		of Korca	SN	Senegal
Cl	Côte d'Ivoire	KR	Republic of Korea	su+	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	u .	Liechtenstein	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LK	Sri Lanka	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LU	Luxembourg	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco		

A Method for Drug Formulation and A Pharmaceutical Composition.

The present invention relates to a method (melt granulation) for producing pellets which contain a therapeutically active substance. The pellets produced have a spherical configuration. The invention is applicable primarily to high-dosage substances, i.e. compounds which shall be ingested per os in dosages of about 300-500 mg when administered to humans.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Pellets (e.g. spheres) intended for the administration of drugs <u>per os</u> are normally smaller than 2.5 mm (diameter) and larger than 0.3 mm, and are preferably in the range of 0.3-2.0 mm.

Melt granulation is a known process and involves mechanically working a particulate substance mixed with a binder which has a melting point of 40-70°C, such as to form granules (pellets). During the process of manufacture, the binding agent melts and adheres to to the surface of the particulate substance, said particles therewith adhering together and building up to a granule form (pellets). Melt granulation will preferably result in matrix-type spherical pellets of low porosity. See, for instance, Drug. Dev. Ind. Pharm. 16 (1990), pages 1249-77. Melt granulation has been applied to the production of sustain release pellets, see US patents 4,013,784; 4,132,753; and 4,935,246.

The most important pellet manufacturing methods are:

(1) Coating inert particles ("non-pareilles" = placebo pellets) with a solution that contains an active sub-

10

15

20

25

stances, binder and water. The amount of active substance used is normally </= 30% (w/w) of the ultimate pellets. The method is primarily intended for active substances which are administered in dosages of 20-30 mg. The pellets obtained are spherical in shape when the particles used are spherical.

- (2) Extrusion of a moist mass that contains active substance and an appropriate plastifying binder (e.g. 10-50% microcrystalline cellulose or methyl cellulose), followed by rounding the extrudate on a rotating disc. The amount of active substance present in pellets produced in accordance with this method is at maximum about 50% (w/w). This method produces primarily pellets of an elongated configuration.
 - (3) Coating crystals of active substance and auxillary substances with suitable polymers. The geometric shape of the pellets obtained is determined by the geometric shape of the crystals. See for instance US Patent 4,957,746 or the corresponding EP publication 168,360.
 - When it is desired to release active substances in defined regions of the gastrointestinal tract, prepared pellets have been coated with a protective layer, for example a gastric-juice resistant film (enteric-coated), or have been placed in capsules that possess appropriate release properties.
- None of these earlier known pelletizing methods have been found satisfactory for use with therapeutically active cohesive substances which are intended to be administered in high dosages and when quick release of the active substance from the administered pellet is desired subsequent to the pellets having reached their

10

15

20

25

30

35

intended location in the gastrointestinal tract. The pellets produced has a normally been excessively small and/or have exhibited marked agglomeration tendencies. The pellets produced in accordance with the earlier known methods have also been too porous to enable the pellets to be converted to tablet form - more compact pellets have been desirable. There has been found the need for new methods of producing pellets, particularly pellets which contain water-soluble, therapeutically active substances of high dosage type.

The present invention provides one such method, which can also be applied to other therapeutically active substances. The inventive melt-granulating method (pelletizing) enables the production of a novel drug composition for active substances of high dosage type. This novel composition constitutes one aspect of the invention.

The inventive method for pelletizing a therapeutically active substance is characterized by:

- (i) mechanically working a mixture which contains

 (a) the active substance in cohesive form and

 (b) a binder having a melting point between 40°C

 and 100°C, preferably from 40°C to 70°C, while

 supplying energy in an amount such that the

 binder will melt and the mixture will granulate

 to form spherical pellets, wherein the quantity

 ratio between active substance and binder is

 sele ted so that the spheroids formed will be

 over wetted;
- (ii) adding further cohesive substance, either in one or more batches, optionally with the inclusion of intermediate binder additions while supplying

10

15

20

25

energy during the mechanical working process so that the melting temperature of the binder is exceeded;

- (iii) interrupting the mechanical working process, the supply of energy and the supply of cohesive substance and binder when the desired mean particle size of dry pellets (spheres) has been achieved, normally beneath 2.5 mm and preferably beneath 1 mm, such as beneath 0.8 mm, although always above 0.3 mm, such as above 0.4 mm; and
- (iv) removing particles which are larger or smaller than a predetermined maximum and minimum size respectively, optionally coating the remaining pellets (spheres) to impart thereto suitable release properties or stability properties, and grouping said remaining pellets into dosage batches each containing one dosage of the therapeutically active substance.
- By cohesive substance is meant a particulate substance of poor flowability interparticle forces give the particles a tendency to lump together or to agglomerate. The cohesiveness of a substance is often measured by its interface angle substances which have a high angle of repose are cohesive. In principle, all substances having a mean particle size < 30 μ m, such as < 20 μ m, are cohesive.
- Subsequent to melting the binder according to step (i)

 above and agitation has continued, the cohesive substance pelletizes normally instantaneously to form overwetted spheres. Continuous agglomerates of spheres are formed. Overwetting is necessary in the case of cohesive substances. The pellets formed are hard and cannot be deformed due to their low porosity and the

10

25

30

strong interparticle bonds caused by the small particles of cohesive substance.

In step (ii), further cohesive substance is bound to the surface of the spheres formed in step (i). This enables agglomerates to be broken down.

The pellets (spheres) formed are of the matrix type, i.e. the particles of the cohesive substance are densely packed together in a matrix which consists of the binder. The porosity of the spheres is low, i.e. beneath 5% (pore volume in relation to the volume of the spheres).

The therapeutically active substances that can be pelletized in accordance with the invention normally consist of a therapeutically active compound which may be either water-soluble or water-insoluble. By water-soluble is meant that the compound will dissolve in the intended "fluid" in the gastrointestinal tract, i.e. in saliva and/or gastro and/or intestinal juices.

It is normally true that any compound which can be obtained in solid, particulate form at temperatures of up to about 393°K can also be obtained as a cohesive substance. Large particles or lumps can be made cohesive by grinding and optionally by subjectin the material to spheronization and screening processes. Alternatively, a similar result can be achieved through the medium of freezing or spray-drying processes. The compounds in question are often salts (= ionic compounds), for example salts of azobis salicylic acid, particularly the 3,3'-_somer , acetylsalicylic acid, 5-aminosalicylic acid, 4-aminosalicylic acid, salicyl

azosulfapyridine (sulfasalazine), or a penicillin salt, for example the calcium salt of penicillin V. If appropriate the carboxylic acid forms of these salts may also be used. The inventive method can also be applied to non-ionic compounds. The therapeutically active compounds concerned will normally have melting points higher than +120°C (+/-15°C). As previously mentioned, the compounds in question are primarily of the high dosage type. The binder may have pronounced hydrophilic or lipophilic properties, to a greater or lesser degree. Mixtures of binders that possess mutually different properties may also be used. The melting point of the binder will preferably be higher than 40°C, preferably higher than 45°C and lower 100°C, such as lower 70°C. The viscosity of the binder and also the contact angle (Danish grensfladevinkel) is of significance and both will preferably be low in order to enable the cohesive substance to be mixed uniformly. The viscosity of the binder at the process temperature used should be beneath 1000 cps (e.g. at 70°C). Hydrophilic binders which are highly soluble or which are soluble to an unlimited degree in water are of particular interest for the manufacture of pellets from which the active substance will be quickly released. Examples in this regard are polyethylene glycol having a mean molecular weight $(M_{\rm w})$ < 7000 daltons, such as 1000-6000 daltons, for example an approximate molecular weight of 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000 or 6000 daltons. Lipophilic binders which are also hydrophilic to a greater or lesser degree are bees wax (pronouncedly lipophilic), glyceromonostearate, polyglycolglyceride (for example Celucire 50/13 (Gattefosse) having a melting point of 50°C-and-HLB = 13), polyoxyethylene_stearate (for example PEG-40-stearate (Crodet 40) and PEG-100-stearate (Crodet 100), both having a melting point of 50°C

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

10

15

20

25

30

35

and a respective HLB cf 16.9 and 18.8 (manufacturer, Croda Chemicals)), sorbitan esters (for example Span 60 having a melting point of 50°C and an HLB = 4.7).

The choice of binder is determined by the compound that is to be compacted and by the desired release properties of the resultant pellets. If the active compound is readily dissolved in aqueous media, for example in gastric juices (pH < 6) or in saliva or in intestinal juices (pH = 6-8) and it is desired that the active compound is released quickly in such a medium, there is chosen a hydrophilic water-soluble binder, such as polyethylene glycol according to the aforegoing. On the other hand, if it is desired that the active compound will be released more slowly, there is preferred a binder which has lower solubility in aqueous media, i.e. binding agents in which the lipophilic properties predominate.

The proportions in which binder/cohesive substance are used shall be such as to obtain overwetted pellets in step (i), i.e. the degree of saturation is above 100%. The terms "overwetted" and "degree of overwetting" are well known to the person skilled in this art and imply the addition of excess binder such as to cause the surfaces of the granules (spheres) obtained in step (i) to become tacky. The binder concentration should not be too high, since this would result in the formation of aggregates which will not separate to individual pellets (granules, spheres) in step (ii). The optimal proportion of binder:cohesive substance varies in dependence on the active compound (the compound as such, particle size and specific surface area of the particles, etc.), cohesiveness, binder and desired sphere size. As a general guideline, the active sub-

30

35

stance will normally be 60-95% (w/w), preferably 75-90% or 80-95% (w/w) of the resultant pellets, prior to optional aftertreatment (e.g. coating). Analogously, the quantity of binder used will be from 5 to 40% (w/w), preferably from 10-25% (w/w). Step (ii) enables the amount of active substance to be increased by 5-30% in comparison with the amount added in step (i).

The supply of energy to and mechanical working of the 10 system is primarily achieved by agitation, wherein it is ensured that the amount of energy supplied will be sufficient to impart to the binder a viscosity suitable for granulating purposes. During the mechanical working process, the temperature shall always be allowed to 15 rise above the melting temperature of the binder, although, as a rule, the temperature should always be kept beneath the melting point of the cohesive substance, suitably at least 10°C beneath said melting point. This signifies that the temperature of the 20 mixture being worked will normally be < 140°C, such as < 130°C. An excessively high supply of energy will facilitate baking of the mixture into large lumps, which is an obvious drawback. Energy supply by external heating methods may be problematic, since tempera-25 ture gradients will readily occur in the mixture, resulting in inhomogenous granulation.

In the case of therapeutically active compounds which are soluble in water, the mechanical working process is, in principle, carried out in the absence of water. In some case small amounts of water may be advantageous, although not in amounts creating water solutions. The mechanical working process is preferably carried out in a so-called intensive mixer (=high shear mixer), i.e. a mixer of the type described in Danish Patent Appli-

10

15

20

25

30

cation No. 3290/89. The mixer retailed under the name PELLMIX by NIRO ATOMIZER A/S (Soeborg, Denmark) is of particular interest in this connection.

The size in which the pellets are obtained is determined by such process variables as the particle size of the cohesive substance used, the binder used, the mechanical working process applied, the agitation rate, the mixing vessel used and/or the geometric configuration of the agitator, and surface coating, temperature, etc. Process parameters which will result in a given pellet size with a given binder and a given cohesive substance are determined empirically, as illustrated in the experimental part of this specification. to obtain an acceptable yield, the process parameters are preferably adapted so as to obtain a particle distribution < 75% pellets (spheres) in a range +/- 0.5 mm, preferably +/- 0.35 mm, around a given value of the diameter in the pellet range mentioned in the introduction. .

Sieving, or screening, is effected in a known manner to recover a suitable fraction having a size which lies within the aforesaid pellet range, preferably a size of 0.3 - 1.5 mm.

According to one aspect, the invention is a pharmaceutical composition which contains pellets that provide one dosage of the therapeutically active compound. According to the invention, the pellets used are characterized in that they are spherical and of the matrix type and contain cohesive substance and binder of the aforesaid kind and in the aforesaid quantities.

The inventive pellets (spheres) can be enteric-coated in a known manner, such that the active substance will be released in the intestine. The spheres may also be disposed in capsules, which may also be resistant to gastric juices.

Different embodiments of the invention are defined in the accompanying Claims, which form part of the descriptive portion of this specification.

10

5

EXPERIMENTAL PART

PART 1. The invention applied on PcV-K (Phenoxy methyl penicillin calcium, Fermenta, Sweden).

15

Apparatus: Pellmix 50 litre (Niro Atomizer A/S, Soeborg, Denmark).

Starting point: The following experiments were based on introductory studies carried out in a 6-litre intensive mixer, wherewith we found that an optimum composition was melt pelletizing of 22% polyethylene glycol 3000 (PEG) with 78% calcium salt of penicillin V (=PcV-K) and subsequent dry addition of PcV-K.

25

30

35

Experiment 1.1: 8500 g PcV-K and 1870 g PEG 3000. Dry substance addition (PcV-K) 1 x 2000 g + 500 g. Agitator speed 600 rpm. Evaluation: The PEG melted after about 15 minutes (59°C), whereafter the temperature was increased to 100°C after a further 5 minutes. The product became overwetted at about 125°C and 2000 g of dry substance were added, followed by a further 500 g within some few minutes. The product consisted of large lumps, 2-5 cm, and pellets (spheres) of the correct size. Screen analysis: 1.1.

10

15

20

25

30

35

Experiment 1.2: The starting composition and the addition of dry substance (PcV-K) were in accord with Experiment 1.1. The agitator speed was initially 600 rpm. Evaluation: In order to achieve a lower rise in temperature, the agitator speed was reduced to 500 rpm subsequent to having reached the melting point of PEG (59°C). The final temperature was 110°C. Evaluation: The product had a broad particle distribution, including "fines" (fine particulate material) and large pellets having a diameter of from 5 to 10 mm.

Experiment 1.3: 8500 g PcV-K and 2040 g PEG 3000. The agitator speed was 600 rpm (start). Evaluation: Because of overwetting problems relatively early on in the process, the agitator speed was reduced to 200 rpm (116°C), which resulted in controlled growth.

Experiment 1.4: The starting composition was the same as that used in Experiment 1.1. The dry substance addition (PcV-K) was 3 x 700 g. The agitator speed was initially 600 rpm. Evaluation: The agitator speed was lowered to 300 rpm, at temperature 70°C. The rise in temperature from 70°C to 90°C took 16 minutes. Dry substance was added when the temperature had reached 90°C. Screen analysis: 1.4.

Experiment 1.5: The starting composition was the same as that used in Experiment 1.1. The dry substance addition (PcV-K) was 2 x 1000 g + 1 x 300 g. The agitator speed was initially 600 rpm. Evaluation: The agitator speed was lowered to 400 rpm at temperature 70°C. The rise in temperature from 70°C to 90°C took about 6 minutes. Dry substance was added when the temperature was 100°C. Screen analysis: 1.5.

- 1

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Experiment 1.6: 8500 g PcV-K and 1700 g PEG 3000 (20%). The dry substance addition (PcV-K) was 2 x 1000 g + 1 x 300 g. The agitator speed was initially 600 rpm. Evaluation: The process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 1.10. The amount of PEG used was insufficient for the substance (PcV-K) to be pelletized. The total PEG-concentration (17.3% PEG) was lower than the corresponding concentration in Experiment 1.5.

Experiment 1.7: 8500 g PcV-K and 1785 g PEG 3000 (21%). The process conditions were analogous with the process conditions of Experiment 1.5. Screen analysis: 1.7.

Experiment 1.8: 8500 g PcV-K and 1828 g PEG 3000 (21.5%). The process conditions were analogous with those used in Experiment 1.5.

Experiment 1.9: This experiment was analogous with Experiment 1.8, with the exception of the level to which the agitator speed was lowered. Evaluation: The agitator speed was lowered to 500 rpm at temperature 70°C. The rise in temperature from 70 to 90°C took about 3 minutes. The dry substance charges were added at a temperature of about 125°C, at intervals of some few minutes. Screen analysis: 1.9.

Experiment 1.10: The experiment was analogous with Experiment 1.8, with the exception of the value to which the agitator speed was lowered. Evaluation: The agitator speed was lowered to 450 rpm at a temperature of 60°C, and dry substance added. Screen analysis: 1.10.

10

. 15

25

30

PART 1. CONCLUSION

PEG: The experiments show that it is possible to obtain pellets of suitable size when PEG is present in the starting mixture in a concentration of 21-22%. The experiments also show that a lower percentile addition will not result in pelletization and that a higher percentile addition will result in the formation of large lumps. TEMPERATURE: Since there is found a relationship between agitator speed and temperature, it is difficult to maintain the temperature at a reasonable level of about 110°C (maximum temperature for the system tested). Experiment 4 shows that pelletization can be achieved beneath 100°C.

PART 2. The invention applied to 3,3'-diazo-bissalicylic acid (DIPENTUM, Pharmacia AB, Sweden).

20 Apparatus: Intensive mixer PELLE (Niro Atomizer A/S, Soeborg, Denmark).

Melt pelletization of 3,3'-diazo-bissalicylic acid (mean particle size 4 μ m) with PEG 3000 or glycerol monostearate as binder.

Experiment 2.1: 800 g DIPENTUM and 160 g PEG 3000 (20%). The agitator was run at a speed of 1200 rpm until the PEG addition melted, and thereafter 900 rpm. Dry substance addition 3 x 80 g DIPENTUM. Jacket temp. 55°C (external heating). Large fraction < 0.700 mm. Screen analysis: 2.1.

Experiment 2.2: The process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 2.1, with the exception that a

15

20

25

30

smaller quantity of PEG 3000 was used (147 g, 18.3%). Pellets formed after a long process time and after the melting point of PEG had been reached.

Experiment 2.3: Process conditions were the same as 5 those in Experiment 2.1, but with the difference that smaller quantity of PEG 3000 (153 g, 19.2%) was used. The size distribution was analogous with Experiment 2.1. Screen analysis: 2.3.

> Experiment 2.4: Process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 2.1, with the exception of an addition of 10% Avicel PH 10 (80 g) and 720 g DIPENTUM. The particle size was larger than that obtained in Experiment 2.1 and 2.3. Screen analysis:

Experiment 2.5: 800 g DIPENTUM and 152 g glycerol monostearate (19%). The agitator speed was maintained at 1100 rpm until the PEG added to the system had The speed was then lowered to 900 rpm. Dry substance addition 2 x 80 g DIPENTUM. Jacket temp. 55°C (external heating). Overwet product.

Experiment 2.6: The process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 2.5, with the exception of a smaller quantity of glycerol monostearate 128 g (16%). Screen analysis: 2.6.

Experiment 2.7: The process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 2.6, except for an addition of 9 g sodium lauryl sulphate 8 g. Dissolution tests carried out on 100 mg pellets, USP paddle 100 rpm (37°C) in distilled water resulted in 90% dissolution after 80 In the absence of sodium lauryl sulphate, a 90% dissolution was achieved after 120 minutes. 35

Experiment 2.8: The process conditions were the same as those in Experiment 2.5, but with a melt binder which comprised a mixture of PEG 3000 and glycerol monostearate (1:1), total 140 g. A dissolution test analogous with Test 2.7 resulted in about 90% dissolution after 40 minutes. A dissolution of 90% was achieved after 120 minutes in the absence of sodium lauryl sulphate.

16

SCREEN ANALYSES

	Screen A	nalysis	1.1	Screen Anal	ysis 1.4	
	Analysis	weight	in grams: 100.0	135.20		į
5	Diam. μ m	W. %	Cum.%	W. %	Cum.%	
	10			0.1	0.1	s .
	75			0.1	0.1	
•	125	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	
	180	0.0	0.1	0.7	1.0	
10	250	0.2	0.3	2.7	3.7	
	355	1.1	1.4	6.0	9.7	
	500	4.2	5.6	9.7	19.4	
	710	24.9	30.5	28.5	47.9	
	1000	62.5	93.0	38.5	86.3	
15	1400	7.0	100.0	13.7	100.0	
	Mean dia	m. DGW:	1065.0 μm	935.4 μm		
	Sread SG	W: 1.29)	2.56	•	
					:	
	Screen A	nalysis	1.5	Screen Anal	ysis 1.7	
20	Analysis	weight	in grams: 133.	50 121.20		
	Diam. μ m	W. %	Cum.%	W. %	Cum.%	
	250	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.3	
	355	4.8	5.5	4.4	4.7	•
	500	21.7	27.3	27.8	32.5	•
25	710	42.9	70.2	42.5	75.0	•
÷	1000	24.4	94.6	20.1	95.1	
	1400	5.4	100.0	4.9	100.0	
	Mean dia	m. DGW:	845.7 μm	819.0 μm		
	Spread S	GW: 1.3	39	1.38		
30						
	Screen A	. ~		Screen Analy		,
	— Analysis	-weight	in-grams: 127.	30116.00		
	Diam. μ m	W.%	Cum. %	W.8	Cum.%	
	180	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	7
35	250	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.9	

	355	9.4	10.4		10.1	10.9
	500	40.6	51.1		41.0	52.0
•	710	37.9	88.9		37.4	89.4
	1000	9.4	98.4		9.4	98.8
5	1400	1.6	100.0		1.2	100.0
	Mean dia	m. DGW:	708.3 μm		703.0 μm	
	Spread S	GW: 1.3	36		1.35 ·	
			•			
	Screen A	nalysis	2.1	e.	Screen Anal	lysis 2.3
10	Analysis	weight	in grams:	94.40	54.40	
	Diam. μ m	W.8	Cum.%		W. %	Cum.%
	75	0.1	0.1		-	
	125	0.0	0.1			
	180	0.6	0.7		3.1	3.1
15	250	2.6	3.4		2.9	6.1
	355	10.4	13.8		5.0	11.0
	500	29.1	42.9		24.8	35.8
	710	35.7	78.6	•	41.4	77.2
	1000	17.2	95.8		17.5	94.7
20	1400	3.2	98.9		1.3	96.0
	2000	0.4	99.4		0.4	96.3
	2830	0.2	99.6		3.5	99.8
	4000	0.4	100.0		0.2	100.0
			743.9 μm		782.6 μm	
25	Spread So	GW: 1.4	9		1.63	
					. 34 -	
•	Screen A				Screen Anal	ysis 2.6
		=	in grams:	86.90	107.6	
	Diam.μm	W. %	Cum. %		W. %	Cum. %
30	75	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1
	125	0.1	0.2		0.0	0.1
	180	0.1	0.3		0.0	0.1
	250	0.0	0.3		0.1	0.2
25	355	2.5	2.9		2.9	3.1
35	500	22.6	25.4		9.0	12.1

X... M.,

	710	32.6	58.0	39.1	51.2	
	1000	.23.4	81.4	38.2	89.4	
	1400	16.8	98.2	9.3	98.7	
	2000	0.6	98.7	0.7	99.4	
5	2830	0.2	99.0	0.2	99.6	
-	4000	1.0	100.0	0.4	100.0	
		iam. DGW:	934.0 μm	979.1 μm		
		SGW: 1.49)	1.39		

10

15

20

25

30

35

Claims

- 1. A melt granulating method for producing pellets (spheres) which contain a therapeutically active substance of high-dosage type,
- characterized by, in an intensive mixer,
- (i) mechanically working a mixture which contains
 (a) the active substance in cohesive form and
 (b) a binder having a melting point between 40°C
 and 100°C, preferably from 40°C to 70°C, while
 supplying energy in an amount such that the
 binder will melt and the mixture will granulate
 to form spherical pellets, wherein the quantity
 ratio between active substance and binder is
 selected so that the spheres formed will be
- (ii) adding further cohesive substance, either in one or more batches, optionally with the inclusion of intermediate binder additions while supplying energy during the mechanical working process so that the melting temperature of the binder is exceeded;

overmoist;

- (iii) interrupting the mechanical working process, the supply of energy and the supply of the cohesive substance and the binder when the desired mean particle size of dry pellets (spheres) has been achieved, normally beneath 2.5 mm and preferably beneath 1 mm, such as beneath 0.8 mm, although always above 0.3 mm, such as above 0.4 mm; and
- (iv) removing particles which are larger or smaller than a predetermined maximum and minimum size respectively, optionally coating the remaining pellets (spheres) to impart thereto suitable release properties or stability properties, and grouping said remaining pellets into dosage

· · · · ·

5

15

20

25

batches each containing one dosage of the therapeutically active substance.

- 2. A method according to Claim 1, <u>charac-</u> terized in that the therapeutically active substance is a water-soluble compound.
- 3. A method according to any one of Claims 1-2,

 characterized in that the binder is hydrophilic.
 - 4. A method according to any one of Claims 1-3, characterized in that the pellets (spheres) obtained are divided into dosages of 300-500 mg active substance.
 - 5. A method according to any one of Claims 1-4, characterized in that the mechanical working process is effected by agitation.
 - 6. A method according to any one of Claims 1-5, characterized in that the temperature is maintained beneath 120°C during the mechanical working process.
 - 7. A method according to any one of Claims 1-6, characterized in that the binder is a polyethylene glycol.
- 30 8. A method according to any one of Claims 1-6,

 characterized in that the therapeutically
 active substance is a salt, for example a salt of
 azobissalicylic acid, acetylsalicylic acid, 5-aminosalicylic acid, salicylazosulfapyridine, or a penicillin salt, for example calcium salt of penicillin V.

10

9. A pharmaceutical composition containing a dosage of 300-500 mg of a pelletized therapeutically active substance, characterized in that the pellets are spherical and consist of the therapeutically active substance in the form of particles having a mean particle size beneath 30 μ m, such as beneath 20 μ m, and a binder having a melting temperature of 40-100°C, wherein the active compound constitutes 60-90% (w/w) and the binder 5-40% (w/w) of the weight of the pellets used.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/SE 91/00690

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document,*** with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages **2 Relevant to Claim No.** X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990,	CI ACCIFICATION OF OUR INCOME.	international Application No. PC1	, 02 32, 10031							
I. SEASTRONED II. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched? Classification Syntom Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in Fields Searched* SE,DK,FI,NO Classes as above III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category: Citation of Document, "with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages "2 Relevant to Claim No.12 X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990, see column 2, line 5 - column 3, line 65 examples X US, A, 4013784 (P. SPEISER) 22 March 1977, see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 Louis A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document Louis and not in certification by particular relevance or considered to be of particular relevance or considered to the considered to be of particular relevance or considered to considered to be of particular relevance or considered to considered to be of particular relevance or considered to be of particular relevance or considered to considered to be of particular partic	ording to International Patent Classification Classification Classification and Inc.									
Classification System	: A 61 K 9/16, 9/52, B 01 J 2/10									
Classification System	II. FIELDS SEARCHED									
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in Fields Searched® SE, DK, FI, NO classes as above III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document,¹¹ with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹² Relevant to Claim No.¹² X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990,										
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in Fields Searched SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.12 X	Classification System Classification Symbols									
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in Fields Searched SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.12 X			4+							
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in Fields Searched SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.12 X										
**Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Special categories	IPC5 A 61 K; B 01 J									
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document,*** with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages **2 Relevant to Claim No.** X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990,										
Catagory* Citation of Document, "I with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim Ho.13 X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990,	SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above									
Catagory* Citation of Document, "I with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim Ho.13 X US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990,	III DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE BE STANTA									
US, A, 4935246 (G. AHRENS) 19 June 1990, see column 2, line 5 - column 3, line 65 examples US, A, 4013784 (P. SPEISER) 22 March 1977, see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 1-8 US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance, and it illig date "It document which may throw doubts on priority claim(a) or which is idea to establish the publication as of a specified) "Concentral referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or called to exhibit a particular relevance, and a specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or called to exhibit a particular relevance, the claimed invention into the posticity of the column of the property of the column of the posticity of the column of the posticity of the column of the property of the column of the posticity of the column of the column of the posticity of the column of the column of the posticity of the column of the pos		annearish of the est	10-1							
see column 2, line 5 - column 3, line 65 examples US, A, 4013784 (P. SPEISER) 22 March 1977, see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 1-8 US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document 2 decument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and litting date 11-8 1-8 1-8 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and litting date in the particular relevance in the state in the particular relevance, the claimed invention or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or into the possidered novel or cannot a considered to be of particular relevance, the claimed invention material possibilities being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *A document member of the same patent family also of the Actual Completion of the International Search Ist January 1992 Date of Mailing of this international Search Report SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE **Special categories of column 1, line 25 - column 4, **Lead of Mailing of the International Search Report SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE **A document member of the same patent family also of Authorized Office **A document member of Authorized Office **A document m										
US, A, 4013784 (P. SPEISER) 22 March 1977, see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 * Special categories of cited documents: 10 2 January 1979, see the whole document * Special categories of cited document * Special categories of cited documents: 10 2 January 1979, see the whole document * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or after the international filing date * Special categories of cited documents: 10 * A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the special common to published on or after the international filing date ** document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication cate of a special common to considered to lower or cannot be considered to move or cannot be considered to move or cannot be considered to involve as inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed invention and the considered to involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the considered to involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular relevance, the claimed involve an inventive stee when the common to particular	see column 2, line 5 - co	Jume 1990, Dlumn 3,	1-9							
see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 1-8 US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document 1-8 *Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considerate to be of particular relevance "e" saylier document but published on or after the international filing date "I document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as aspecified) "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document published prior to the international filing date but international published or or other international filing date but international published or or other priority date and international filing date but international f	examples		,							
see column 1, line 25 - column 4, line 38 example 1 1-8 US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document 1-8 *Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considerate to be of particular relevance "e" saylier document but published on or after the international filing date "I document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as aspecified) "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O' document published prior to the international filing date but international published or or other international filing date but international published or or other priority date and international filing date but international f		•]							
US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET AL) 2 January 1979, see the whole document 1-8 *Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance iffing date particular relevance or stere the international filing date in the property of the particular relevance in the property of the particular relevance in the publication date of another document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another claim or other special reason (as specified) O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of the state of the international filing date but of the remaining obvious in a principle of the international filing date but after than the priority date claimed OC CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of this international Search less of Authority Signature of Authority Signature of Authorized Office Authority Signature of Authorized Office Anne 11 Jöns son	see column 1, line 25 - c	March 1977, column 4,	9							
"Special categories of cited documents: 10 2 January 1979, see the whole document 1-8 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 4 document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "E sapiler document but published on or after the international filing date or site of the art which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) or other special reason (as specified) or other special reason (as specified) or other means of the considered to involve an inventive step when the recomment published prior to the international filing date but size than the priority date claimed. **Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) **CERTIFICATION **Special categories of cited documents: 10 1-8 **International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but internation be considered not inconsidered not inconsidered not inconsidered not involve an inventive step when the document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of priority date claimed. **Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means of priority date claimed. **COMMENT OF THE CERTIFICATION **CERTIFICATION **Special categories of cited documents: 10 1-8 **International filing date but size than the priority date claimed. **Special categories of cited document published after, the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority date and not in conf										
2 January 1979, see the whole document: 2 Special categories of cited documents: 4 document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ifting date of the articular relevance of the carried document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 7 document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 8 document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date (almost or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step or cannot be considered to o	n		1-8							
2 January 1979, see the whole document: 2 Special categories of cited documents: 4 document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance ifting date of the articular relevance of the carried document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 7 document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 8 document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date (almost or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step or cannot be considered to o										
**Special categories of cited documents: 10 *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document interesting to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or invention and particular relevance, the claimed invention invention or	US, A, 4132753 (M. BLICHARE ET 2 January 1979,	AL)	9							
* Special categories of cited documents: 10 *A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance itiling date *E' earlier document but published on or after the international illing date *L' document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) *P' document published prior to the international filing date but international priority date claimed *CERTIFICATION *International Search *International Search Report *A' document member of the same patent family *International Search Report *Signature of Authority *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being obvious to a person-skilled of the Actual Completion of the International Search *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being obvious to a person-skilled of the Actual Completion of the International Search *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being international filing date but start than the priority date claimed *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being international filing date but start than the priority date and invention or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combinated being obvious to a person-skilled in the art. *A' document member of the same patent family *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being obvious of the international filing date but start than the priority date and invention or more other such document is combined in the art. *A' document member of the same patent family *Signature of Authorized Office *A' multiplication being obvious to a person-skilled of the Actual Completion of the international filing date but start the priority date and international filing date but start the principle of the international filing date but start the priority date and										
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c	4		1-8							
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c										
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c			P							
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c										
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c		** -	•							
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c										
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international liling date "L" document which may throw doubts on griority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international (filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Typriority date and not in conflict with the application but cised to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to inventive step when the not be considered not inventive an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the inventional function of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cited to establish the publication date of another claimed invention on the considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the cannot be considered novel or c										
"X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "CERTIFICATION The Actual Completion of the International Search Ist January 1992 Signature of Authorized O": Signature of Authorized O": Anne 11 Jöns son	•	"T" later document published after to priority date and not in conflicted to understand the principle invention.	he international filing date ct with the application but or theory underlying the							
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority data claimed "CERTIFICATION Tate of the Actual Completion of the international Search Ist January 1992 SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Involve an inventive step "Council and of particular relevance, the claimed invention not be considered to involve an inventive step when the common of the completion of the same patent family "Council and the priority data claimed" "A" document member of the same patent family	"E" earlier document but published on or after the internation filing date	_1	e, the claimed invention							
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority data claimed "A" document member of the same patent family "A" document member of the same p	"C" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" recument of particular relevance, the claimed invention									
document published prior to the international filing date but "as" document member of the same patent family CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search Ist January 1992 Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 1992 - (?- 0 4) Signature of Authorized Office SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Anne 17 Jöns son	"O"_document_referring_to_an_oral_disclosure,_use,_exhibition_o	c: «ment is combined with one meas, such combination being	or more other such docu- obvious to a person-skilled							
International Searching Authority SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Date of Mailing of this international Search Report 1992 - 199		in the art.								
1st January 1992 1st January		ar document member of the same ;	erent ramily							
SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Annel 1 Jönsson										
SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Anneli Jonsson	1st January 1992									
SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Anneli Jönsson	ternational Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Office									
SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE Anneli/Jönsson	muli tour c									
- PATURA IA	SWEDISH PATENT OFFICE rm PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 1885)	1 Anneli/Jönsson								

	US, A, 4957746 (R. VALDUCCI) 18 September 1990, see column 2, line 39 - line 66	Relevant to Claim No
	US, A, 4957746 (R. VALDUCCI) 18 September 1990, see column 2, line 39 - line 66	1-9
A I		į
	DRUG DEVELOPMENT AND INDUSTRIAL PHARMACY, Vol. 16, No. 8, 1990 T. Schaefer et al: "Melt granulation in a laboratory scale high shear mixer", see page 1249 - page 1277	1
	·	
	·	
	·	
		•
		I.
		<u>.</u>
		•

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.PCT/SE 91/00690

This agree lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the Swedish Patent Office EDP file on 30/11/91. The Swedish Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Paten men	Publication date	
US-A-	4935246	90-06-19	DE-C- EP-A-B- JP-A-	3721721 0298355 1026514	88-06-09 89-01-11 89-01-27
US-A-	4013784	77-03-22	BE-A- DE-A- FR-A-B- GB-A- JP-A- NL-A- SE-A-	811175 2360796 2253508 1427677 50089521 7407233 7407537	74-06-17 75-06-12 75-07-04 76-03-10 75-07-18 75-06-10 75-06-09
US-A-	4132753	79-01-02	NONE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	کی میں سے عبی جب ہوں ہیں دی
US-A-	4957746	90-09-18	EP-A- JP-A-	0168360 61033113	86-01-15 86-02-17